## Approved For Release 2001/03/04-: 127A-RDP80-0160



## John P. Roche

## The Difference Vews Leaks?

THERE SEEMS to be a line of former high government officials forming to testify in behalf of Daniel Ellsberg. Their contention: that leaking secret materials to the press is a run-of-themill affair in Washingtoneven in New Delhi, Former Ambassador John Kenneth Galbraith says that he continually passed out classified information. (Those familiar with the amount of classified material on tap in New Delhi are puzzled as to what precisely Galbraith could have been leaking, except his own outgoing "Top Secret" bulletins.)

Former Assistant Secretary of State for Public Affairs Robert Manning has filed an affidavit in Boston affirming that day in, day out, he passed out classified information on a background, or "off the record," basis to the press. Of course, he did-that was what he was getting paid for, I also overclassified sources.

in the Ellsberg case, Man-Central Intelligence Agency, Cousins. or the cables in from Saihimself.

LET ME take a typical example drawn from my own experience. In the April 1, 1967 issue of the Saturday. Norman Cousins Review, had an editorial criticizing the government for not snapping up a North Vietnamese peace offer involving a "total cease-fire" in exchange for a cessation of Allegedly the bombing. offer had been conveyed through a neutral government (India), but ignored. Cousins claimed to have a document to prove it.

I had been following the various North Vietnamese "peace" gambits with interest and I knew that Cousins had been misled. This particular caper had been codenamed (if memory serves) "Operation Narcissus" and had turned out to be a nonbloomer. Indeed, there was reason to believe that it was a Communist "disinformation" ploy launched at the United Nations.

OBVIOUSLY all the matespent a good deal of time rial relating to "Nareissus" briefing journalists with ma- (as well as to "Marigold," terial drawn from usually "Operation Pennsylvania," and the other negotiation ef-But Manning's activities, for(s) was classified "Top like mine, are fundamen- Secret," but I was convinced tally irrelevant to the issue that if Cousins, a man of good will, could see the origning and I (and many other inal file, he would change similarly situated) did not his mind about our alleged go into the declassification reluctance to negotiate. So I, business on our own. That called the President and is, we did not go through asked his permission to the daily summary from the show the raw material to-

Mr. Johnson readily. gon, and decide which items agreed, and Cousins came to pass on. These were deci- down and was handed the sions, made by our bosses, dossier. (For cryptographic not by us. Ellsberg, in con-reasons I asked him to take trast, went into business for no notes.) He read the whole file: the cables from Dean



Rusk to Ambassador Chester Bowles, and Bowles' repliesindicated that there was no water in that well. Since Bowles is a close friend of Cousins, 1 thought this might have considerable impact. Cousins thanked me. but, alas, never retracted his accusation.

In one sense this was a "leak," but I operated on the assumption that—to paraphrase "Carousel" - Lyndon B. Johnson, the President of the United States, was "the highest declassifier of all." If he told me to pass something on, I did so, If I suggested passing something on and he said "no" (as he often did), I shut up. This, I submit, is an entirely different sort of business from an individual, on his own initiative, depositing a truckload of classified documents on the doorstep of a newspaper. (i) 1972, King Features Syndicate, Inc.

STATINTL